

**Standards, principles and best practices of transport safety for wheelchair seated passengers**

Douglas Hobson, PhD  
Mary Ellen Buning, PhD

RERC on Wheelchair Transportation Safety  
University of Pittsburgh-Department of RST  
ISS-05

January 20, 2005

Orlando, Fl.

Funding: National Institute on Disability and Rehabilitation Research

Washington, DC



RERC on Wheelchair Transportation Safety  
University of Pittsburgh &  
University of Michigan Transportation Research Institute

**Introductory Comments**

- Introductions
- Review of Agenda
- Course Hand-out Information
- Learning Objections



RERC on Wheelchair Transportation Safety  
University of Pittsburgh &  
University of Michigan Transportation Research Institute

**Overall Learning Objectives**

To achieve a basic understanding of:

- ♣ Basic principles of occupant injury prevention,
- ♣ The purpose, structure and potential of Wc transportation safety standards,
- ♣ Awareness of specific standard's contents, implications for; users, clinicians, and manufacturers,
- ♣ Real-world problem-solving using standards information and related biomechanical principles,
- ♣ How service providers can contribute to WTS,
- ♣ How to locate additional resources.

**The Main Problems-1980---**

- Lack of awareness/concern of the transport safety risks to WC users
- Lack of strength and durability of products
- Lack of useful information for users and clinicians
- Lack of means to bring together concerned parties to problem solve

**Q: How could an evolving solution be set in motion that would have a long term impact?**

**A: Through development of industry product standards and related best practice application information**

**Q: What is an industry standard?**

**Session 1**

**Industry Standards:  
Rational and the  
Development Process**

**Session 1-Learning Objectives**

To gain a basic understanding of:

- ♣ Rationale and purpose of voluntary industry standards,
- ♣ National vs. international standards,
- ♣ ISO standards development process,
- ♣ Anatomy of a typical ISO standard,
- ♣ Implications for:
  - ◆ W/c users
  - ◆ Service providers
  - ◆ Manufacturers
  - ◆ Insurers/payers

### Rationale and Purpose of Industry Standards

#### Rationale/Purpose:

- ♣ To promote improved product quality and safety,
- ♣ To provide improved product information for service providers and users,
- ♣ To facilitate world-wide barrier-free trade,
- ♣ To consolidate technical/scientific/clinical knowledge on a world wide scale.

### National vs. International Standards

- **National:(ANSI/RESNA or CSA)**
  - ♣ Usually limited stds. development resources,
  - ♣ Scope limited to nationally produced products and expertise,
  - ♣ Can limit importation of desirable foreign products,
  - ♣ Shorter development time.
- **International (ISO):**
  - ♣ World-wide resources are integrated and focused,
  - ♣ Facilitates barrier-free importation,
  - ♣ Highly structured development and approval process,
  - ♣ Requires longer development time,
  - ♣ Agreement can result in less than ideal outcome

### Voluntary vs. Mandatory Standards

- **Voluntary(WTS stds.):**
  - ♣ Usually developed by industry
  - ♣ Self regulatory, self certification
  - ♣ Compliance not a legal requirement
  - ♣ Do set the legal bar for recognized std. of industry practice
- **Mandatory Regulations (FMVSS, ADA):**
  - ♣ Based on an Act approved by Congress
  - ♣ Regulations follow intent of legislation
  - ♣ Non-compliance is illegal
  - ♣ Enforcement by government agency

### International(ISO) Stds. Development Process

#### Six stages of development:

- ♣ **1. Preliminary Work Item (PWI)**
  - ◆ Early consolidation of ideas (informal)
- ♣ **2. New Work Item (NWI)**
  - ◆ First formal stage (starts clock running)
- ♣ **3. Committee Draft (CD)**
  - ◆ first draft by working committee
- ♣ **4. Draft International Standard (DIS)**
- ♣ **5. Final Draft International Standard (FDIS)**
- ♣ **6. International Standard (IS)**

### International(ISO) Stds. Development Process

#### Std. Approval Process:

- ♣ Country participation- Observer or Participant,
- ♣ 70% approval by participating countries to proceed through stages 2-5,
- ♣ Voting comments from national participants must be addressed by Working Group (WG).
- ♣ ISO gives 36 month deadline once NWI approved--most Wc stds. take 48-60 months,
- ♣ Once an IS, must be reviewed on 5 year cycle,
- ♣ IS available for purchase from ISO-  
<http://www.iso.ch>

### Anatomy of Typical ISO W/c Standard

#### Format of ISO Standard

- ♣ **Foreword:** ISO info. ie.what SC prepared std, etc.,
- ♣ **Introduction:** rationale for std.
- ♣ **Scope:** focus of std.,
- ♣ **Normative References:** other related std(s). that must be referred to,
- ♣ **Terms and Definitions:** definition of terms used in the std.,
- ♣ **Design Requirements:** that must be met for tested product to be in compliance,

### Anatomy of Typical ISO W/c Standard-cont.

#### Format of ISO Standard:

- ♣ **Identification, Information, and Instruction Requirements:** what must be provided by manufacturer,
- ♣ **Performance Requirements:** how the product must perform under specified test conditions,
- ♣ **Test Report:** what information must be contained in a test report and kept on file,
- ♣ **Test Methods (often Annexes):** lab. tests used to verify that design and performance requirements have been met.
  - ◆ **Normative**- must be followed to comply with std.
  - ◆ **Informative**- for information or guidance only

### Implications for W/c Users

- Improved product durability and safety over time,
- Availability of more reliable information,
- Wider selection of products (imports),
- Higher cost?

### Implications for Service Providers

- Wider selection of service options
- Standardized information allows improved comparison between products
- International standard terminology defined by knowledgeable group
- Opportunity to participate in dev. process
- Improved exchange across disciplines

### Implications for Manufacturers

- Higher cost to produce products?,
- Places all on 'level playing field,
- Facilitates cross-border trade,
- Opportunity to participate in process,
- Design guidelines obtained,
- Reduces product liability in some countries.

### Implications for Payors

- Objective criteria for determining what products should be added to 'providers list' (test reports),
- Improved value (durability/safety) for national investment

### QUESTIONS/DISCUSSION